Info-ParaNet Newsletters Volume I Number 374

Friday, March 8th 1991

Today's Topics:

Nazis from the Pleaides
Coverup?
Limits on federal employee free-lance writing
Re: Paranet Newsletter 372
SS433
Resonant Coil Implications?
Coverup?
Re: Phenomenon Framed Again!
RED LIGHT SPECIAL
Re: (NONE)
Statements of acceptable proof
Re: File disclaimers
Re: Old writers
Philadelphia meteor 4/5/91
ufo sighting in nh?
A SETI Update
Solar Flare Alert
Haaton Stuff, Etc.
Re: Paranet Newsletter 372
Spherical UFOs

Moderator's Note: Please remember to alias 'paramod@scicom.alphacdc.com' to the submissions address: 'infopara@scicom.alphacdc.com' Thank you.

From: John.Burke@f9.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (John Burke)
Subject: Nazis from the Pleaides
Date: 7 Mar 91 07:56:00 GMT

Korac:

Thanks for your report which gives us a better idea of the true nature and purpose of America West Publications. No wonder they were "censored" (i.e. "no TV station or newspaper would accept their ads")!

These people seem to think they can "hook" people by appealing to the kind of paranoia which is being spewed about by people like Bill Cooper. They then build upon that foundation by adding a new layer of paranoia which concerns the BS about "World Zionist Conspiracies" etc. Haven't these idiots ever considered the possibility that there might be someone out there who is a Cooperphiliac but who also belongs to the JDL?

About a year ago there was some speculation here about who could be responsible for the infection of contemporary American ufology with all of this paranoia about government conspiracies against the people. A couple of informed ParaNeters postulated: "Neo-Nazi cults". It is now apparent that even if such

organizations are not the initiators of this concensual paranoia,
they certainly are trying to exploit it.

       Thanx again for the revealing information!
                                                    -- John

--
John Burke - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Burke@f9.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Steve.Rose@f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Steve Rose)
Subject: Coverup?
Date: 5 Mar 91 04:54:00 GMT

 JH>   Doubtful. I suspect you'd find that ABC broke into programming at
 JH> the same time (GMT or UTC) but it was only in the East that the
 JH> Secrets show was on.

Wouldn't that mean West Coast viewers got to see the footage in question since
they are three hours behind and the show is delayed for them, as with all other
network entertainment shows?

--
Steve Rose - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Steve.Rose@f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: stl-06sima.army.mil!wmartin
Subject: Limits on federal employee free-lance writing
Date: 7 Mar 91 15:22:11 GMT

From: Will Martin <wmartin@stl-06sima.army.mil>

Reference the previous discussion under 'Just Cause' and other subjects:

HR 325, which has been approved by the House Judiciary Committee, repeals
the provisions of the recently-enacted 'ethics' legislation which apply
to federal employees in grades GS-15 (or equivalent pay) and below and
banned outside income from free-lance writing and speaking, in areas
unrelated to their jobs. Other legislation has been introduced in both the
house and senate to similar effect applying to military officers.

(GS-15, by the way, is a salary/grade level for civil service that would be considered 'upper management' outside Washington DC. Our Deputy Directors, sort of top-level VPs, are 15s. Ordinary non-managerial technical positions usually top out at the 13 level. Real people, like me, are lower -- I'm an 11. :-)

The Federal Times article I gleaned this from implied that rapid passage of some form of this legislation is likely.

Regards, Will
wmartin@stl-06sima.army.mil

From: ncar!rutgers!presto.ig.com!stuczyns
Subject: Re: Paranet Newsletter 372
Date: 7 Mar 91 17:22:03 GMT

From: Martin Stuczynski <stuczyns@presto.ig.com>

I want to reply to a couple of Greg's comments in the latest issue.

1=) He does'nt seem to believe the allied forces captured many thousands of Iraqi troops! If you were bombed by 'daisy cutter' and other unfathomable agents of destruction for five round-the-clock weeks you might not find this so unbelievable!
   As to the Apollo astronauts vs. space shuttle astronauts and their respective states of physical fitness wehn returning to earth. Do you know anything about the U.S. space hardware?? The apollo capsules were relative sardine cans compared to the shuttle and the apollo astronauts had no space or provisions for carrying out any meaningful physical fitness regimes as do the space shuttle astronauts. To imply that the space shuttles are'nt being flown in space is ludicrous.

--Marty S.

From: Michael.Corbin@f4.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Subject: SS433
Date: 8 Mar 91 04:11:00 GMT

Jim,

I have noticed your postings in other echoes about SS433. What is it and what significance does it have to UFO research?

Thanks for your reply.

Mike

--
Michael Corbin - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@f4.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: vm1.yorku.ca!YSCS1296
Subject: Resonant Coil Implications?
Date: 8 Mar 91 06:20:51 GMT

From: User <YSCS1296@vm1.yorku.ca>

I am not well-versed in physics higher than high-school levels. Can someone tell me exactly what can happen within the distorted space-time that this Resonant Coil produces? What are the implications? I suppose this ISN'T an anti-gravity device? Is it a pathway to ... folding space?
-
Of course, it would help if someone(s) actually built it, and reported on it.
-
What about the anti-gravity device mentioned in the KRILL (eek) docs? Any substance to that claim?
-
And finally, a friend told me that the URE that was filmed (Unidentified Running Entity) from the window (as mentioned several newsletters ago, along with the claim that the Stealth was from UFO parts - the 10 strangest stories of 1990) was broadcast on one of the tabloids (H.C., A.C.A., I.E., or U.M.) and he said it didn't look like any familiar animal or even an Earth-like animal. Anyone else saw this broadcast?
-
And how come nobody is commenting on the Unsolved Mysteries broadcast of the Kecksberg incident? Did EVERYONE miss it except me?

From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)
Subject: Coverup?
Date: 6 Mar 91 07:38:01 GMT

> Wouldn't that mean West Coast viewers got to see the footage in
> question since they are three hours behind and the show is
> delayed for them, as with all other network entertainment shows?

I've heard from a few who did see the show without interruption. I gather we didn't really miss anything.

jbh

--
John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)
Subject: Re: Phenomenon Framed Again!
Date: 6 Mar 91 07:38:02 GMT

> both of us reading so many, neither of us will have any idea
> what the other is talking about!

You'll have to tune in more often. ;-)

jbh

--
John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Pete.Porro@f414.n154.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Pete Porro)
Subject: RED LIGHT SPECIAL
Date: 6 Mar 91 16:29:49 GMT

Yes the light floating in the sky was not investigated at all. A flare hanging from a balloon would have worked fine. There are so many ways to produce the light in the sky it's silly. I don't remember any comments about the speed, duration, or anything factual about the GB light that was shown on ABC TV that night. Sensational usless information.

Anyone have any information?
--
Pete Porro - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Pete.Porro@f414.n154.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Pete.Porro@f414.n154.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Pete Porro)
Subject: Re: (NONE)
Date: 6 Mar 91 16:46:19 GMT

Greg, Maybe you can get someone to scan those newsletters, and the Paranet nodes can put them online for people to reads from the files areas throughout the world. That way you would not have to type forever, and distribution would be accomplished outside the message base.

I don't find anything unusual about the space shuttle landing vs the capsules. Remember they were crammed into those things (have you seen one in person?) and in the shuttle it's a bit more roomy. The current crews can at least move around, while the Apollo guys could hardly wiggle their toes. (or am I confused on the first missions with capsules?)

For anyone who cares, if you are near Orlando it's worth the ride to NASA one day to see the space center. It's not only free (your tax dollars at work) but it's really good. First time I was there, I thought OK we'll drop in at 1pm look around and hit the road for some sight-seeing. When they closed we were the last two people out the gates... loved it.

Thinking about space travel, and UFO's, and sizes of things makes more sense once I stood next to some of those monsters that were necessary to launch one of those tiny capsules. Make me wonder if there are UFO's that they must have a very compact and efficient propulsion system.
--
Pete Porro - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Pete.Porro@f414.n154.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Tender@f112.n129.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Tender)
Subject: Statements of acceptable proof
Date: 4 Mar 91 00:28:50 GMT

>> RM> Fourth, any skeptic worth his doubts _will_ be able to tell what
>> RM> evidence would suffice to convince him on a given factual claim.
>> RM> Klass, Sheaffer, and Oberg _can and have_ said what would
>> RM> convince them. So, they may be wrong, but they're not
>> RM> dogmatists.
>>
>>   Have they made definitive statements, and if so where are these
>> available?

 RM> Good question. Yes, but I'm not sure where these can be found.
 RM> Klass can be reached at 404 "N" Street, Washington, DC 20024,

 RM> and Sheaffer is at 1875 Fallbrook Ave., San Jose, CA 95130.
 RM> If you're curious, write to them, and I'm sure they'll be able
 RM> to fill you in with a reference or two. I don't have an
 RM> address for James Oberg, but you may be able to reach him care
 RM> of Houston NASA.

    Oh. I thought that since _you_ made the claim that Klass, Sheaffer and Oberg _actually had made_ definitive statements, that you also had some _evidence or ready information_ on which to base that claim. Sorry, _my mistake_. (I will, however, bear this in mind in evaluating your other claims.)

    I will indeed try to contact the three above individuals.

... from the purlieus of Pittsburgh
--
John Tender - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Tender@f112.n129.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Tender@f112.n129.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Tender)
Subject: Re: File disclaimers
Date: 4 Mar 91 00:41:00 GMT

>> RM> or of the author's reputation. I don't think I've seen the
>> RM> particular files you mention (waiting for a disk or two from
>> RM> Mike), but even if they're _really bad_, they can -- at a
>> RM> minimum -- be valuable as an example of their kind.
>>
>>   I'm wondering what you mean by "of their kind" ?

 RM> RM> Wonder no longer. Read 'em and find out.

    Maybe I wasn't sufficiently clear. I asked what _you_ meant by "of their kind", information which, at least according to my way of thinking, cannot be found in the original source material.

>>   Since you are, quite understandably, sensitive about being lumped
>> in with some other "skeptics", shouldn't we be careful here too?

 RM> No, we should not. My statement was a plea against deleting
 RM> the files of a writer on UFOlogy, even though just about
 RM> everyone considers them to carry no credibility whatsoever. Do
 RM> you have a problem with that, John? I most assuredly do not.

    The problem I have is precisely what I said it was: that care should be taken in making sweeping statements about a group of files being "of a kind". Whatever the main thrust of you message was, I

commented on a rather specific statement you made. This does not mean I disagreed with anything else in the post, and I am at a loss for any reasonable explanation for you to force such an interpretation on what I said.

>> RM> Picks would be those the sysop considers less improbable
>> RM> (modify this according to your degree of skepticism :-)).
>>
>>   Any sysop utilizing such a policy should also recognize a
>> responsibility to post a sufficiently clear description of the
>> criteria employed in making the selection.

RM> I fully disagree. Sysops have no obligation to justify or
RM> explain their placement of download files on their own systems.
RM> If I were moved to post such an explanation, it would be as
RM> follows: "Because I damned well felt like it." That should
RM> more than cover it.

I suppose that this depends on what the sysop decides to be his "obligation". If one's desire is to present a rational, objective, and comprehensive overview of a subject, then it is imperative that rational, objective, and comprehensive criteria for data presented be made available. If, on the other hand, one chooses to ignore this obligation and run the risk (or at least arouse the suspicion) of presenting half-truths, faulty lines of reasoning, and conclusions-by-decree, then "because I damned well felt like it" will indeed suffice.

... from the purlieus of Pittsburgh
--
John Tender - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Tender@f112.n129.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Tender@f112.n129.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Tender)
Subject: Re: Old writers
Date: 4 Mar 91 00:44:50 GMT

>>   "When two elderly, popular authors talk to each other for any
>> length of time, the result is invariably a bunch of useless aphorisms"

RM> How about: "You can lead an echo reader to enlightenment, but
RM> you cannot make him think"?

Sounds OK. I might disagree on the reasoning leading up to the

valid application of the statement for any specific individual. I can assure you it wouldn't be "because I damned well felt like it".

(On second thought, it doesn't sound OK. I don't think you can lead anyone to enlightenment without making him or her think!)

... from the purlieus of Pittsburgh
--
John Tender - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Tender@f112.n129.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Paul.Faeder@p0.f0.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Paul Faeder)
Subject: Philadelphia meteor 4/5/91
Date: 8 Mar 91 06:01:02 GMT

In an article of <6 Mar 91 17:46:57 GMT>, Paul Carr <CARR@astro.dnet.ge.com> writes:

>I heard on the news this morning that a large colorful fireball went
>right over Philadelphia last night. They didn't give a specific time.
>Someone in the control tower at PHL called it 'The Mother of all
>Meteors.'

In my local paper, Robert Gribble, director of the National UFO Reporting Center, said his investigators questioned a pilot flying over Maryland at the time of the incident. The pilot said that he saw the meteor *change direction.* Hmmm....

The following article is about a similar incident a bit over a year ago:
Pocono Record Jan. 29, 1990

STRANGE LIGHT LIGHTS UP EAST COAST SWITCHBOARDS

"We are quite confident it was not a manmade
object re-entering. We have no idea what it was."

-Major Dick Adam NORAD PR officer.

WASHINGTON (AP) - Thousands of people in the Eastern United States reported seeing a strange bluish-green light in the sky Saturday night which some experts said could have been an unusually large meteorite.

"We are quite confident it was not a manmade object re-entering," said Maj. Dick Adam, public affairs officer for the North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD) in Colorado Springs, Colorado. "We have no idea what it was."

Robert Gribble of the National UFO reporting Center in Seattle, a

private group, reported receiving dozens of calls.

"Based on descriptions that have been given, I'd say we're dealing with a very large solid, a very large meteor," said Gribble.

"Description run from a blue-green to a bright gereen coming down in an angular descent leaving a short tail behind it," said Gribble. He said everyone who had telephoned the center described the object, "as coming down and hitting the ground in their area, which is very common in something like this."

Among the people who reported seeing the object was David Arnold, an area air traffic control supervisor at Dulles Airport, where the sighting occurred at 7:10 pm EST.

"We don't know exactly what it was, a meteor or a satellite," said Arnold, who has been an air traffic controller for 25 years. "It lasted 25 to 30 seconds and went from brilliant white to an orange as it broke up.

"We've seen things like this before, but much higher. This was quite brilliant. It appeared to have dissipated completely."

However, not all witnesses saw the object change color and appear to disappear.

A television reporter in Oak Hill, W. Va,. one of the places where there was a flurry of initial sightings was at the home of one of the first witnesses nearly three hours after the initial sighting and reported the light was still hovering in the sky.

Kitty Harrison, assignment editor for WOAY-TV in Oak Hill, W.Va., said what she saw was "absolutely not" a meteorite.

"I've seen meteorites before and this doesn't resemble the type of meteorite I've seen," she told The Associated Press in a telephone interview from the home of Elizabeth Gray and her son, Chris, in Stanford, West Va.

"What we are seeing is a very bright bluish white object that appears to be moving downward toward the horizon in an erratic spiraling motion," Harrison told the AP. "It is very slow. You have to keep your eye fixed to something stationary in order to see it's progress."

Chris Gray, 13, was carrying firewood to the house when he saw the object.

"I saw the sky light up for the meteorite and then about half an hour later I saw what I'll call a UFO, and then when the television called me back they told me to tape it on my cam corder and while we were taping it was changing colors and it started seperating and going back together again," said Chris Gray.

Among the witnesses in the Washington area was Andrew Guthrie, a news editor at the Voice of America since 1983.

"It was a dramatic thing to see," said Guthrie. "I almost drove off the road." He said the object appeared to be "three-or-four times treetop level" and about the size of a grapefruit with an "incandescent bright light at the center like a magnesium flare."

An AP reporter walking along Pennsylvania Avenue in the Foggy Bottom section of Washington recalled thinking initially that it was some type manmade firework similar to flares shot in the sky on the Fourth of July because it was very bright and distinct, appeared to be moving across the sky at about the same speed typical of such displays, seemed to have a tail and appeared to be low enough to have been shot from the balcony of one of the multistory apartment buildings in the area.

However, the light remained on a flat trajectory, moving rapidly across the sky without fading.

Sightings were reported from an area ranging from West Virginia and, along the Eastern Seaboard, from Virgina to New York.

--
Paul Faeder - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Paul.Faeder@p0.f0.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Steve.Paquin@f113.n132.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Steve Paquin)
Subject: ufo sighting in nh?
Date: 8 Mar 91 02:46:00 GMT

Hello...i'm wondering if anyone out there has any info on the "ufo" sightings that took place on wedsday morning between 2-330 in the morning? All I heard was that the Concord state police plus other police depts heard from numerous people reporting colored lights in the sky...some said red, some green..and they shot around in the sky.. this is all i've heard I only caught the tail end of a radio broadcast and looked for a mention in the union leader, and the nashua telegraph...this happened(3-6-91) and reportings were sigthed from as far away as brookline....to concord with numerous cities in that area(southern merrimack Valley?)

I haven't heard anything of it since the radio broadcast.....was it a hoax or was what I heard for real?

any info would b great

thanx in advance

steve paquin

--
Steve Paquin - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Steve.Paquin@f113.n132.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: ParaNet.Information.Service@f4.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (sm)
Subject: A SETI Update
Date: 8 Mar 91 07:18:00 GMT

This file was provided by ParaNet(sm) Information Service
and its network of international affiliates.
You may freely distribute this file as long as this header
remains intact.

Contributed by: ParaNet Information Service
==========
For further information on ParaNet(sm), contact:
Michael Corbin
ParaNet Information Service
P.O. Box 928
Wheatridge, CO 80034-0928
or
Netmail 1:104/422
==========
The following article appeared in Volume X, Number 5 of the Planetary Report, a publication by the Planetary Society. It is (C) 1990 by the Planetary Society. All rights reserved. Reprinted on ParaNet without permission.

We have published it here for the sheer amusement of our members. Remember how we have long been chastised by the outside world - particularly the scientific community - for pursuing the UFO enigma? Well, it appears that the payback has come home to roost. Congress is now chastising the scientific community for its pursuit of extraterrestrial intelligence. As long as Bush seems to be in the spending mood for such things as SETI, perhaps we should back the Planetary Society in the Search for Congressional Intelligence. Maybe a reminder, much like that of the 1952 overflight of the White House by UFOs, is what is needed to get Congress thinking where it needs to be. Enjoy!

THE ILLOGIC IS IRREFUTABLE

The NASA Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence program is designed only to "listen" for radio signals that another civilization might be broadcasting to other advanced civilizations. It is not a program that actively attempts to contact other possible life forms. In his fiscal year 1991 budget, President Bush had asked $12 million for NASA'S SETI program. The House Committee on Appropriations cut that to $6.1 million. When the committee's proposal reached the floor of the House, Representative Ronald K. Machtley [D-RI] introduced an amendment to eliminate SETI Funding from the federal budget. We excerpt here portions of the discussion on the House floor.

Silvio Conte (R-MA): "We don't need to spend $6 million this year to find evidence of these rascally creatures. We only need 75 cents to buy a tabloid at the local supermarket. Conclusive evidence of these crafty critters can be found at checkout counters from coast to coast. [Mr. Conte then entered into the Congressional Record five articles on UFOs from tabloid publications.] If we continue to fund this dog (NASA's SETI program]-a Golden Fleece award winner in 1978-then we should seriously consider funding an even more ambitious program SCI: Search for Congressional Intelligence."

Ronald K. Machtley (D-RI): "We cannot spend money on curiosity today when we have a deficit. I might suggest that, in fact, if

there is such a superintelligence [sic] form of life out there, might it be easier just to listen and let them call us?"

Bob Traxler (D-MI): "The logic of the distinguished gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. Conte] and the maker of the amendment [Mr. Machtley] is irrefutable."

END

PARANET FILE NAME: SETI.UPD

--
ParaNet(sm) Information Service - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: ParaNet(sm).Information.Service@f4.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Michael.Corbin@f4.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Subject: Solar Flare Alert
Date: 8 Mar 91 08:14:00 GMT

* Forwarded from "Space Echo"
* Originally from Bev Freed
* Originally dated 03-05-91 22:37

-- MAJOR SOLAR FLARE ALERT --
MARCH 05, 1991
** WARNING - VERY HIGH SOLAR ACTIVITY **
Flare Event Summary
Potential Impact Forecast

MAJOR ENERGETIC EVENT SUMMARY

A very significant amount of major solar flaring has occurred over the past 24 hours from a region just emerging around the eastern limb. This region is still very near the east limb, hence no detail in spot activity can be discerned. However, four large major flares have occurred over the past 6 to 18 hours from the region coming into view.

The first major flare peaked at an X-ray intensity of X7. This very strong X-class flare was optically uncorrelated. It was associated with a strong Type II sweep frequency event. The estimated shock speed of the Type II was 600 kilometers per second. It was located near approximately S23E90 (or slightly beyond the east limb).

The second major flare began at 23:59 UT on 4 March, peaked at a class M6.7/2B rating at 00:09 UT on 5 March, and ended at 00:28 UT on 5 March. The location of this flare was S21E88. No sweeps were observed.

The third major flare began at 03:02 UT on 5 March, peaked at 03:12 UT and ended at 03:43 UT on 5 March. This flare was a powerful class X1.5/3N (the largest area attained by a flare in well over a year). Loops were observed on the limb with this flare. It was located at S19E89. No sweeps were observed although a coronal mass ejection is likely from this event.

The last major flare so far began at 04:58 UT, peaked at 05:06 UT and ended at 05:45 UT on 05 March. This flare reached a powerful class M9.3 x-ray level, but was optically uncorrelated. It was associated with a strong 720 s.f.u. Tenflare and a 990 s.f.u. burst at 245 MHz. No sweeps were recorded from this event, although a coronal mass ejection (CME) is likely from this event.

POTENTIAL TERRESTRIAL IMPACT FORECAST

The region(s) responsible for this recent barrage of major flaring is still not in view well enough to determine the spot and magnetic complexity. However, it is very clear that some very high magnetic gradients exist in the region now rotating into view. Hints of the activity were noticed two days ago when M-class x-ray activity was being observed without any optical counterparts. It is now evident that this M-class flare activity was most likely the result of the region now rotating into view. No significant activity was anticipated. This region is a surprise.

The major flaring which has occurred over the past 24 hours will not have any terrestrial impacts aside from possible moderate to high intensity SID's/SWF's and greater probabilities for VHF SID-induced signal enhancements.

PLEASE NOTE!! If the region(s) responsible for this major flaring continues to produce major flares over the coming week, potentially high terrestrial impacts could occur. Persons, organizations, and/or researchers who might be affected by the major flaring should take note of this and pay close attention for future major flare alerts and possible terrestrial impacts (ie. magnetic storming) later this week. It is possible that this region could quite literally blow itself out before it begins to enter the area capable of producing terrestrial impacts. More will be known in the next 24 to 48 hours as the region(s) present themselves for closer examination.

Major flaring WILL CONTINUE over the next 24 hours at least. There is not much of a threat at the present time for proton activity from the flaring regions although it is quite probable that they are throwing out protons. The flaring regions are still too far east to produce any significant proton activity. This will change as the regions travel toward the central solar meridian. Proton activity could become a factor anytime from about 08 or 09 March until the region(s) responsible rotate beyond the west limb by about 18 or 19 March (a preliminary estimate). The flare centers should begin crossing the central meridian by about 12 March.

Alerts and updates will be posted on a daily basis over the next week or so unless the activity becomes less active. The solar flux will increase to near or above 250 by 18:00 UT on 05 March.

Watch for further flare alerts and possible terrestrial impact warnings.

** End of Alert **

-- MAJOR SOLAR FLARE ALERT --
MARCH 05, 1991
Alert #2
** WARNING - VERY HIGH SOLAR ACTIVITY **
Flare Event Summary
Potential Impact Forecast

MAJOR ENERGETIC EVENT SUMMARY

Two more major X-class flares have erupted in the last 12 hours. This makes a total of six major energetic flares in about the last 24 hours. Solar activity is VERY HIGH!

A powerful class X2.0/3B flare exploded from Region 6438 at a location of S21E86 at 09:14 UT on 05 March. The flare began at 09:37 UT, peaked at 09:14 UT and ended at 10:16 UT. No sweeps were observed from this event.

A major flare erupted off the southeast limb from Region 6537 at a location of S09E69 at 17:21 UT on 05 March. This flare was rated a class X1.4/2N flare. It began at 17:15 UT, peaked at 17:21 UT and ended at 17:32 UT. No sweeps were observed from this flare.

Region 6438 is the largest and most complex region rotating into view around the eastern limb. This region is still too close to the limb to discern any significant detail. However, the frequency and power of the recent flare activity is indicative of very high magnetic gradients and complexity. This region is expected to continue to produce major flares and many X-class level flares over the next week.

Region 6437 was somewhat of a surprise. It is optically small and seemingly simple. However, it somehow managed to spawn a class X1.4/2N major flare at 17:21 UT. This event (and most of the others) was associated with an intense short-duration SID/SWF. Blackout conditions on the HF bands occurred as high as 15 MHz.

POTENTIAL TERRESTRIAL IMPACT FORECAST

Major flaring will continue for at least the next two to three days, and possibly throughout the coming week. High intensity SID's/SWF's will occur in synchronism with these events. SID durations could exceed 30 to 45 minutes in some of the more powerful events. VHF SID-induced signal enhancements are likely, with possible DX conditions for VHFers during periods of SID activity. Frequent SIDs are expected over the coming week.

There is not expected to be any terrestrial impacts (ie. proton, geomagnetic, etc.) from these recent flares. They are still too far east. Proton activity could increase (if flaring continues) within approximately the next 4 to 8 days. High probabilities for proton and PCA activity will occur as Region 6438 crosses into the western hemisphere near 12/13 March, provided major flaring continues for that long.

Major Flare Alerts and/or updates will continue to be posted on a daily basis throughout the coming week, or until activity diminishes.

** End of Alert **

--
Michael Corbin - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@f4.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: Haaton Stuff, Etc.
Date: 8 Mar 91 04:59:00 GMT

>
>   Well, I was too amused to be scared, so I ordered the
> catalog. I hope I don't get on the 'New Age Junk Mail List'

Well, Korac, you can HOPE you don't, but I think you will.

It's just my opinion, but when it comes to stuff like this, I think
"there's one born every minute."

One New Age Junk Mail List victim, that is.

If you want to read about other dimensions, try The Search for
Schroedinger's Cat.

Best,
  Clark

--
Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: Re: Paranet Newsletter 372
Date: 8 Mar 91 05:30:00 GMT

> Regarding the magnetic anomalies present on the ground after
> UFO
> sitings,

Hi Mike.

Tell me, what magnetic anomalies are you writing of? I'm aware of a few, but they always were found in ferrous rocks, etc., in the vicinity.

I'm not saying that magnetic traces aren't possible, just that such traces are unheard of.

Best,
  Clark

--
Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: Spherical UFOs
Date: 8 Mar 91 05:47:00 GMT

> Were there any sitings of spherical UFOS during last Feruary
> (1990) over
> the NE states or Quebec?
>

To Mike Clarke
Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS

Mike, funny you should mention it, but a large, spherical UFO was sighted repeatedly for over 2 hrs. going east from New York City on 3/5-6/91.

Best,
  Clark

--
Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

********To have your comments in the next issue, send electronic mail to********
'infopara' at the following address:

UUCP {ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara

DOMAIN infopara@scicom.alphacdc.com
ADMIN Address infopara-request@scicom.alphacdc.com
  {ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara-request

**********The**End**of**Info-ParaNet**Newsletter**********